

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-9 are pending. Claims 4, 6, and 7 drawn to the unelected species, are withdrawn pending the allowance of a generic or linking claim. The applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claim 2 is objected to as containing a feature already recited in claim 1. Claim 2 is amended herein to remove reference to the noted feature. The objection should therefore be withdrawn.

Applicants note that claim 4 was amended to add the generic features indicated as allowable during examination of the elected species. Applicants maintain that claims 4, 6, and 7 should be reinstated in the spirit of MPEP 809, since they contain generic features indicated allowable. In the reasons for allowance, the Examiner indicated that the prior art failed to show an arrangement of a vertical junction field effect transistor and a lateral junction field effect transistor.


Applicants submit that it would not be unduly burdensome to reinstate and fully examine claims 4, 6, and 7 since they contain features indicated allowable, e.g. claim 4 is drawn to a first vertical type junction field effect transistor having a source and a gate and disposed in a silicon carbide substrate and a second lateral type junction field effect transistor having a drain and a source and disposed in the substrate. Applicants note that in claim 4, the drain of the second field effect transistor connects to the source of the first field effect transistor, and the source of the second field effect transistor connects to the gate of the first field effect transistor. In claim 1, the drain of the second field effect transistor connects to the source of the first field effect transistor, and the gate of the second field effect transistor connects to the gate of the first field

effect transistor. Applicants request that the above noted distinctions, originally indicated as the basis for the election of species mailed July 21, 2005 should be viewed in light of the presence of allowable features in claim 4 as amended and its dependencies claim 6 and 7.

In view of the foregoing, the applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance. A timely notice to that effect is respectfully requested. If questions relating to patentability remain, the examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

If there are any problems with the payment of fees, please charge any underpayments and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-1147.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert L Scott, II  
Reg. No. 43,102

Posz Law Group, PLC  
12040 South Lakes Drive, Suite 101  
Reston, VA 20191  
Phone 703-707-9110  
Fax 703-707-9112  
Customer No. 23400